
State of Technolgy



Technology Presentation



Administrators' Day 2013

Communications

NDE is working to increase the amount of Virtual or Distance Ed courses, meetings or updates that we provide. This includes the State Board meetings which are all streamed live to your computer. You can find the link to these meetings on the NDE home page or NET's scheduling site. All press conferences from NDE will also utilize this technology so our out-state partners can access the announcements in real time.

Brent Gaswick, Director Network, Education & Technology
SuAnn Witt, State E-Rate Coordinator/Infrastructure Specialist
Kristina Peters, eLearning Specialist
Matt Heusman,

NEBRASKA
DEPARTMENT OF
EDUCATION

During the past year we have purchased two new

video codex's and subscribed to the OCIO video bridge to increase our video quality and capacity for virtual meetings. We will be offering more options for partners to connect with us via technology versus traveling.

The N.E.a.T. team is also working to support internal NDE teams' abilities to provide training content via Adobe Connect for Webinars and the ESUCC BlackBoard LMS starting this fall.

Partnerships

The N.E.a.T team is working to develop and support partnerships both internally at NDE and externally. These partnerships include working with state agencies or non-profit organizations with the NeBooks project, supporting ESU projects, and working with various NDE teams.

Evaluation

With the addition of a state-wide content repository, Safari Montage, and the approval of the State Board of Education's Virtual Education Policy, it is time for NDE to develop a process for evaluating online learning objects and courses.

The N.E.a.T. will begin leading discussion with ESUs, school districts, and external partners to identify the best model for evaluating the content. We will not be able to evaluate all content that will be created; instead we will look at a process to identify what content will be evaluated using this model.

Contact Brent or Kristina if you would like to suggest somebody to be part of these discussions.

NeBooks Project

The NeBooks Project is a partnership between schools, state agencies and non-profit organizations across Nebraska for the common purpose of providing quality instructional materials. The initial focus of this project will be creating Nebraska focused eBooks for use in teaching the 4th grade Nebraska History requirements.

http://www.education.ne.gov/nebooks/

Sketchup Pro

Intuit has granted the Nebraska Department of Education a grant to distribute SketchUp Pro to any Public K-12 school at no charge. SketchUp Pro is a 3D development software that supports CAD classes, 3D Modeling work, and Math concepts. If your school is interested in obtaining Sketchup Pro please complete a request for licenses at:

https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/HTCV9R2

*NDE provides the licenses only, technical support is not available from NDE.

Intel Teach Elements

In March of 2013, NDE and ESUCC cooperated to write a grant to help implement the

Intel® Teach Elements professional development courses in Nebraska. We received the grant and are now in the implementation stage. The lead contact for this project will be Kristina Peters with NDE. The next steps will be to put all the Elements courses in the BlackBoard LMS system and to begin training a cadre of trainers for the courses. We hope to start enrolling Nebraska Teachers in the courses by January of 2014.

Intel® Teach helps K–12 teachers of all subjects learn to engage students with digital learning, including digital content, Web 2.0, social networking, and online tools and resources. Intel Teach professional development empowers teachers to integrate technology effectively into their existing curriculum, focusing on their students' problem-solving, critical thinking, and collaboration, which are precisely the skills required in the high tech, networked society in which we live.

Distance Education

www.education.ne.gov/neat/DistanceEducation.html

Equipment Reimbursement:

This program has sunset as of July 1, 2013

One requirement – 4 consecutive year exchange

Incentives:

Sunsets in 2015

NVIS http://nvis.esucc.org/

No requirement, except to apply

Technology Plan

www.education.ne.gov/neat/tech_plan.html

Currently being updated with additional inventory of Codecs for IVC and Speedtest. Planned to open this year in late August and close at the end of November. Deadlines are deadlines.

The Technology Plan as a Topic Guide in the CIP Toolkit

URL: http://www.education.ne.gov/CIPtoolkit/index.html

Erate

www.education.ne.gov/neat/erate.html

Erate 2.0—

On April 11, 2013 the idea of Erate 2.0 was presented by FCC Commission Rosenworcel. It calls for reform in the Erate program by placing emphasis on program successes. She promotes:

- Updating E-Rate funding
- Creating capacity goals,
- Establishing public-private partnerships,
- Simplifying the application process
- Studying the need for access outside of school hours

Read the Commissioner's comments at:

URL: http://www.fcc.gov/document/washington-education-technology-policy-summit

ConnectEd—

On June 6, 2013 President Obama announced the ConnectED initiative calling on the FCC to take steps within the Erate program to build high-speed digital connections to American's schools and libraries and directed the federal government to make better use of existing funds to get technology into classrooms. This piggybacks on the Erate 2.0 proposal. The initiate calls for:

- Upgraded connectivity
- Trained teachers
- Private sector innovation

Get the details at:

URL: http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2013/06/06/what-connected

FCC NPRM to "Modernizing the E-rate Program for Schools and Libraries" -

On July 23, 2013 the FCC released what is affectionately being termed as its Erate 2.0 NPRM. The NPRM asks over 600 questions and seeks over 300 comments that cover everything from CIPA to Broadband to reducing some eligible services. Its size suggests that after the FCC receives and digests all these comments, a second NPRM may be needed to address more specific proposals.

For more information go to:

URL: http://www.fcc.gov/e-rate-update

Contact Information

Brent Gaswick
Director Network, Education and Technology
brent.gaswick@nebraska.gov
402-471-3503

SuAnn Witt
State E-Rate Coordinator/Infrastructure Specialist
suann.witt@nebraska.gov
402-471-2085

Kristina Peters
eLearning Specialist
kristina.peters@nebraska.gov
402-471-4366

Matt Huesman
Research Analyst
matt.heusman@nebraska.gov
402-416-8206





Nebraska State of K-12 Technology Report 2013

The Nebraska Department of Education has been asking both Public and Private school districts to utilize the Technology Planning tool for the last several years. The majority of Nebraska schools have taken advantage of this planning tool to help them think about how their technology can improve student learning.

The Department of Education has used the information provided in the Technology planning tool to help make decisions that will have an impact on schools across the state. While this information is available to school districts and NDE staff it has never been compiled and distributed in a more meaningful manner.

Starting in the fall of 2013 the Nebraska
Department of Education will be releasing
a yearly report, based on the information
school provide in the Technology Planning
tool, to a broader audience. We hope that
this information can help support or foster

initiatives for school improvement related to technology.


It is important to note that this is not a research based report and that the information provided is not complete. This report is an approximation of what is happening in Nebraska schools based on their own willingness to provide quality and accurate information.

This report was compiled by the Nebraska Department of Education, Network, Education and Technology team with technical support from the Data, Research and Evaluation team. Additional support and information was provided to NDE by Network Nebraska, Chief Information Office and the Educational Service Units Coordinating Council.


Distance Education Statistics

The following graphs represent information on the number of Distance Education courses sent or received by Nebraska school districts or ESUs. This data was provided from ESUCC. For additional information about the Distance Education graphs please contact Gordon Roethemeyer, ESUCC or SuAnn Witt, NDE.

Draft Version









Draft Version


Network Nebraska Statistics





Network Nebraska is the term used to describe the statewide multipurpose telecommunications backbone and all of its associated service offerings and support. Network Nebraska is made possible through a consortium of public entities working together to provide a scalable, reliable and affordable infrastructure capable of carrying a spectrum of services and applications.











Network Nebraska Services



Internet 1 service is available through Network Nebraska to eligible entities. Aggregation and volume purchasing provides lower unit pricing for participants.

Internet 2 is an ultra-high speed network available to research universities, undergraduate institutions, K-12 schools, libraries, museums, and science centers for research and educational purposes. It enables widespread collaboration among participants.

For more information about Network Nebraska Please visit: http://www.networknebraska.net/

For questions about Network Nebraska Data contact: Tom.Rolfes@nebraska.gov

Technology Report Data

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, ligula suspendisse nulla pretium, rhoncus tempor placerat fermentum, enim integer ad vestibulum volutpat. Nisl rhoncus turpis est, vel elit, congue wisi enim nunc ultricies sit, magna tincidunt. Maecenas aliquam maecenas ligula nostra, accumsan taciti. Sociis mauris in integer, a dolor netus non dui aliquet, sagittis felis sodales, dolor sociis mauris, vel eu libero cras. Interdum at. Eget habitasse elementum est, ipsum purus pede porttitor class, ut adipiscing, aliquet sed auctor, imperdiet arcu per diam dapibus libero duis. Enim eros in vel, volutpat nec pellentesque leo, integer molestie temporibus scelerisque nec.


Ac dolor ac adipiscing amet bibendum nullam, massa lacus molestie ut libero nec, diam et, pharetra sodales eget, feugiat ullamcorper id tempor eget id vitae. Mauris pretium eget aliquet, lectus tincidunt. Porttitor mollis imperdiet libero senectus pulvinar. Etiam molestie mauris ligula eget laoreet, vehicula eleifend. Repellat orci eget erat et, sem cum, ultricies sollicitudin amet eleifend dolor nullam erat,

malesuada est leo ac. Varius natoque turpis elementum est. Duis montes, tellus lobortis lacus amet arcu et. In vitae vel, wisi at, id praesent bibendum libero faucibus porta egestas, quisque praesent ipsum fermentum placerat tempor.

Curabitur auctor, erat mollis sed fusce, turpis vivamus a dictumst congue magnis. Aliquam amet ullamcorper dignissim molestie, mollis. Tortor vitae tortor eros wisi facilisis.

Consectetuer arcu ipsum ornare pellentesque vehicula, in vehicula diam, ornare magna erat felis wisi a risus. Justo fermentum id. Malesuada eleifend, tortor molestie, a fusce a vel et. Mauris at suspendisse, neque aliquam faucibus adipiscing, vivamus in. Wisi mattis leo suscipit nec amet, nisl fermentum tempor ac a, augue in eleifend in venenatis, cras sit id in vestibulum felis in, sed ligula. In sodales suspendisse mauris quam etiam erat, quia tellus convallis eros rhoncus diam orci, porta lectus esse adipiscing posuere et, nisl arcu vitae laoreet. Morbi integer molestie, amet suspendisse morbi, amet maecenas, a maecenas mauris

Draft Version




NDE

ABOUT

The Technology Plan is a yearly voluntary district submission to be completed by Public Districts, Non Public Districts, Special Purpose Schools and Educations Service Units. The plan opens July 1st of each year and closes February 1st of the subsequent year. Technology planning serves as part of the school improvement planning process, specifically aimed at the technology needs of the organization and is used as part of the documentation for accreditation.

TOTALS AND PERCENTAGE OF REPORTING SYSTEMS



SYSTEM	REPORTED	TOTAL	PERCENT
ESU	15	17	88%
Public	247	249	99%
Non Public	19	200	9.5%
Total	281	466	60%

88%


Student per Instructional Device

Total Student
Membership of
Reporting Public
Districts
2012-2013

Instruction Devices
Reported
on Technology
Survey by Public
Districts

302,069

187,295



SECTION 1

EDUCATION AND TECHNOLOGY


Vision Statement

Learning Curriculum and Instruction

Systems and services

Section 1 is based around the concept that an organization is a functioning system of interconnected relationships between the various component of the organization. "Planned organizational change is an attempt to solve a problem or to catalyze a vision. A change is introduced into an organizational system with the specific intent of affecting other system variables. Acknowledging the relationships between variables gives planners the potential to effect large changes. Systems theory allows planers to broaden there perspective, and to consider how their decision will affect the other components of the system and the environment. (Walonick 1993)

ACTION PLAN DEGREE OF COMPLETION BY AREA




Draft Version

SECTION 2

ASSURANCES

This section describes various declarations, CIPA and Internet Safety policies, items related to the Nebraska School Discipline Act, and other measures that districts need to have in place ofr local, state, and federal compliances.

ASSURANCE RESULTS



ASSURANCE DESCRIPTIONS

- 1. This district/ESU applies for Erate discounts on its own and/or as part of a consortium application.
- 2. The district/ESU receives NCLB funds (Title I, II-D, III, etc.)
- 3. The entity(ies) that I represent have secured or are in the process of securing access to all of the resources, including computers and mobile devices, professional growth, hardware/software, internal connections, maintenance, and electrical capacity
- 4. I understand that documentation of public hearings of CIPA and Internet Safety policy adoption may be required for audit.
- 5. Filtering is incorporated with the service provided by the ESU or ISP.
- 6. Filtering is provided locally for all Internet enabled workstations on a networked basis.
- 7. Filtering is provided individually on each Internet enabled computer.
- 8. Online activities of minors is monitored for appropriate use.
- 9. Safe and secure use by minors of direct electronic communications (email, chat rooms, etc.) is assured.
- 10.Unauthorized online access, including hacking and other unlawful activities, is prohibited.
- 11.Unauthorized disclosure, use, and dissemination of personal identification information regarding minors is prohibited.
- 12. Minors are educated about appropriate online behavior, including interacting with other individuals on social networking websites and in chat rooms and cyber-bullying awareness and response, and;
- 13.At least one public hearing or meeting occurred to address the proposed Internet safety policy.
- 14. The bullying prevention and education policy has been adopted and is reviewed annually.
- 15. The organization can provide its plan for educating minors about appropriate online behavior.


Draft Version

SECTION 3

INVENTORY


Section 3 contains information regarding school facilities and building technology inventory.

INSTRUCTIONAL DEVICES




DESKTOP	MOBILE
159363	29635

INSTRUCTIONAL COMPUTERS




APPLE	PC	LINUX
82317	76814	232

MOBILE DEVICES




IOS	OTHER
26364	1595

STUDENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS




POWER SCHOOL	INFINITE CAMPUS	SCHOOL MASTER	SYCA- MORE	GOEDU STAR	SIMS	JMC	OTHER
165	35	17	13	11	8	4	19

EMAIL STATUS



IN HOUSE	ESU	OUTSOURCE
72	183	59


EMAIL CLIENT



MENTIONS GOOGLE	OTHER
83	196


SECTION 4

EVALUATION



Section 4 is the Rubric of Essential Technology Condition with a series of conditions evaluated in various subject areas.


TECHNOLOGY ADMINISTRATION



	NULL	INITIATES	MEETS	APPROACHES	EXCEEDS
1.1	2	0	56	179	46
1.2	2	1	62	177	41
1.3	2	17	97	141	26
1.4	2	7	61	145	68

VISION PLANNING ADMINISTRATION


Vision Planning and Policy



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
 ■ Technological vision does not exist. ■ Technological planning is not evident. ■ Policies do not include technological concerns/ uses. 	■ Technological vision and planning aligns with organization and state plans. ■ Technological policies protect learners and provide access to learners while aligning with organization and state vision and plan.	■ Technological vision and technology plan align with organization and state plan and integrate into the school's SIP process. ■ Policies align with technological vision and plan and support equitable access for all learners. ■ A well-articulated implementation plan has been collaboratively designed and proactively supported by the leadership.	■ Technology vision and plans are regularly reviewed and updated with staff. ■ Policies align with technological vision and plan. ■ The implementation plan reflects not only shared vision but also a collaborative atmosphere for the sharing of resources to bring the vision to life.

TECHNOLOGY ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION






Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Limited technical support. ■ Technical support response time greater than 24 hours ■ Technical support does not include assistive technologies or web accessibility. ■ Issues of assess and quality are unresolved	■ Part-time school-based or agency support. ■ Most technical support response time is less than 24 hours. ■ Resources for support of Assistive Technology and web accessibility are access (i.e., WebAIM accessibility guidelines, Accessibility Rubric, A. T. consultation) ■ Technical assistance for supporting teaching and learning is not a clearly defined role or is understaffed and, therefore, not useful.	 □ Full-time school-based or agency support capable of trouble shooting basic network and hardware repair including assistive technologies. □ Technical support response time is less than 8 hours. □ Technical assistance for supporting teaching and learning is a clearly defined role for a staff member in the organization but not individual school building. Person in position does not hold a Technology Leadership Endorsement. (Available 2012). 	 □ Full-time school-based or agency support with additional staff (including faculty) to support network and production of accessible web sites as per Accessibility Rubric. □ Most technical support response time is less than 4 hours. □ Technical assistance for supporting teaching and learning is a clearly defined role for a staff member in individual school building. Person in position does hold a Technology Leadership Endorsement. (Available 2012).

ELECTRONIC DATA SUPPORT SYSTEMS


1.3 Electronic Data Support Systems



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ A student information system is not in place or limited to tracking attendance, lunch and grading. ■ Budget system exists. ■ Data is dalt with using various manual and technical means with no centralization or integration.	(In addition to Stage 1) ■ An assessment system is included in the data management system. ■ Budget system is in place that automates the purchasing and inventory process. ■ Some data is maintained in an enterprise-wide system and the system is used for selected task or reports.	 (In addition to Stage 2) ■ Add curriculum and lesson planning. ■ Budget system tracks the cash flow to school populations validating equitable access for all learners. ■ A comprehensive data management system is in place but only used for selected levels of improvement needs. 	 (In addition to Stage 3) ■ Add curriculum and lesson planning. ■ Budget system tracks the cash flow to individual learners validating equitable access for all learners. ■ Data warehouse and analysis systems are in place and used regularly as part of ongoing evaluation and improvement. ■ The systems are capable of and are being used for all levels of improvement tasks and reporting school organization and state.

BUDGET AND FUNDING ADMINISTRATION


1.4 Budget and Funding



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ District, state and federal technology allotments only. ■ Line item budget does not exist for hardware/software purchases and professional development.	■ In addition to allotments, the district/school seeks grants and other funding sources such as bond funds, business partnerships, donations, foundations, and other local funds designated for technology facilitating the ability to meet enhanced technology needs and minimal instructional technology needs. ■ Line item budget exists for maintenance and new purchases of hardware and software with professional development support and opportunities.	 (In addition to Stage 2) ■ Budget for hardware and software makes technology accessible to all student, professional development adequate staffing support, and ongoing costs. ■ Successfully obtains funding from one source other than their allotment. 	 (In addition to Stage 3) ■ Add curriculum and lesson planning. ■ Budget system tracks the cash flow to individual learners validating equitable access for all learners. ■ Data warehouse and analysis systems are in place and used regularly as part of ongoing evaluation and improvement. ■ The systems are capable of and are being used for all levels of improvement tasks and reporting school organization and state.

SECTION 2


TECHNOLOGY CAPACITY



	NULL	INITIATES	APPROACHES	MEETS	EXCEEDS
2.1	2	6	63	183	29
2.2	2	8	59	140	74
2.3	2	1	6	113	161
2.4	2	27	40	117	97
2.5	2	12	29	179	61
2.6	2	3	25	111	142
2.7	2	1	43	187	50

STUDENT TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT ACCESS


2.1 Student Technology Equipment Access



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ 10:1 ratio or more of students to computer equipment five years old or less. ■ No Universal Access Stations (computer stations equipped with necessary hardware and software to meet the special needs of students with disabilities). ■ No student access to computers after school	■ Less than 10:1 ratio of students to computer equipment five years old or less ■ Universal Access technologies in place. ■ Student access to computers for afterschool care students or by special arragement. ■ Organization identifies current universal access technology inventory and needs.	 ■ Less than 5:1 ratio of students to computer equipment four years old or less. ■ Universal Access integrated throughout organization. ■ Open after-school access to computers for all students 1-5 hours per week. 	■ Every student has computer equipment three years old or less ■Universal Access Stations available in all classrooms and student work areas. ■ Open after-school access to computer equipment for all students over 5 hours per week.

TEACHER TECHNOLOGY EQUIPMENT ACCESS


2.2 Teacher Technology Equipment Access



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Dedicated, up-to-date teacher computer equipment, one set per 2 or more teachers; no refresh cycle.	■ Dedicated, up-to-date computer equipment for ech teacher; refresh cycle every 5 years.	■ Dedicated, up-to-date computer equipment for each teacher; refresh cycle every 4 years.	■ Dedicated, up-to-date computer equipment for each teacher; refresh cycle every 3 or fewer years.

INTERNET ACCESS


2.3 Internet Access



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Adequate connectivity to the Internet available to support web-based applications only on a few computers.	■ Direct connectivity to the Internet at the school and accessible in some rooms. ■ Adequate distribution of bandwidth to the school to avoid most delays.	 (In addition to Stage 2) ■ Direct connectivity to the Internet at the school and accessible in all rooms. ■ Adequate bandwidth to each classroom over the LAN to avoid most delays. 	■ Anywhere, anytime direct access to the Internet for any educationally relevant application.

VIDEO CAPACITY


2.4 Video Capacity



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
 ■ Video available in the classroom on magnetic or optical media. ■ Media is available via classroom devices such as VCR, or DVD player. 	■ Capacity to schedule and distribute video over school network to the classroom. ■Capacity to receive via satellite or other devices specific to curriculum content and distribute programming to the classroom.	■ Capacity to schedule and distribute video over organization or cable access network to the classroom. ■ Two-way interactive video conferencing used to connect schools.	 Network provided video on demand. Two way interactive video conferencing used to connect to post-secondary institutions and other education providers.

DISTANCE LEARNING


2.5 Distance Learning; Conditions and Capabilities



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Shared access to one-way video and two-way audio.	■ Two-way video and audio in at least one classroom.	■ Two-way video and audio in more than one classroom.	■ Two-way video and audio in every student learning area provides access for all. ■ Robust network allows interconnections with all other K-12 sites and post-secondary institutions. ■ Web-based scheduling system allows sites to connect to one another without limitations.

LAN/WAN


2.6 LAN/WAN



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Limited print/file-sharing network at each school.	■ Most rooms connected to the LAN/WAN with student access. ■ Minimum 10/100 hubbed-network. ■ Basic filtering software in use.	■ All rooms connected to the LAN/WAN with student access. ■ Minimum 10/100 switched network. ■ High end servers serving applications at the school with a replacement cycle 3 years. ■ Filtering and virus protection software in use.	■ All rooms connected to the LAN/WAN with student access. ■ Robust WAN with 100 MB/GB and/or fiber switched network that allows for resources (i.e., video streaming, desktop conferencing, etc.) ■ Infrastructure allows easy access to network resources for students and teachers including some wireless connectivity and remote access. ■ Filtering, virus protection, and security measures, as well as disaster recovery plan in place.
		40	□ CIPA compliant.

Draft Version CURRICULUM-BASED TOOLS


2.7 Curriculum-Based Tools



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Limited access to some instructional equipment (i.e., televisions, VCR's, digital cameras, scanners, handhelds, programmable calculators, etc.) ■ Tool-based software limited to word processing and spreadsheets.	■ Shared use of instructional equipment among groups of teachers. ■ Tool-based software includes presentation, some graphics and concept mapping.	 Instructional equipment assigned to each teacher/classroom including at least a computer with projection device, TV, VCR, or DVD. Tool-based software includes some multimedia authoring and video editing. 	■ Fully equipped classrooms with all the technology infrastructure that is available to enhance student learning, including all forms of software, digital cameras, scanners handhelds, and other devices specific to content areas.


SECTION 3

EDUCATOR COMPETENCIES AND PD




	NULL	INITIATES	APPROACHES	MEETS	EXCEEDS
3.1	2	7	92	164	18
3.2	2	9	68	147	57
3.3	2	30	149	92	10
3.4	2	8	114	129	30
3.5	2	2	16	148	115
3.6	2	0	46	202	33

3.1 Educator Use of Technology




Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Teachers use basic computer operations such as email and word processing programs. ■ At least 25 percent meet ISTE NETs and implement in the classrooms.	■ Teachers use productivity tools to streamline administrative tasks (grades, attendance, lesson planning, etc.) ■ At least 50 percent meet ISTE NETs Standards and implement in the classroom.	■ Teachers implement various instructional technology strategies that support diverse needs of learners (research, multimedia, presentations, simulations, distance learning, etc.) ■ Teachers use various forms of technology to communicate with peers and parents. ■ At least 75 percent meet ISTE NETs Standards and implement in the classroom.	■ Teachers use technology to develop new learning environments that are collaborative, interactive and customized. ■ Teachers explore and evaluate new technologies and their educational impact. ■ At least 90-100 percent meet ISTE NETs Standards and implement in the classroom.






Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Administrators have limited awareness of benefits and applications of technology in instruction. ■ Administrators lack basic computer operations skills. ■ Administrators know and understand the ISTE NETs Administrator Standards.	■ Administrators recognize benefits and barriers of technology in instruction for all students and support use of technology in instruction. ■ Administrators expect teachers to use technology for administrative and classroom management tasks. ■ Administrators routinely use technology in some aspects of daily work. ■ Administrators apply the ISTE NETs Administrator Standards.	■ Administrators expect use of technology in instruction for all students. ■ Administrators model use in daily work including communications, presentations, on-line collaborative projects and management tasks. ■ Administrators analyze and determine their proficiencies based upon the ISTE NETs Admnistrator Standards. ■ Administrators are able to make accommodations (change computer settings) for their own disabilities (low vision, hearing, etc.)	■ Administrators plan budget support for training and expect use of technology in instruction for all students. ■ Administrators maintain awareness of emerging technologies. ■ Administrators participate in job-related professional learning using technology resources. ■ Administrators ensure integration of appropriate technologies to maximize learning and teaching. ■ Administrators involve and educate the school community around issues of technology integration. ■ Administrators make decisions and adjust behavior based upon the ISTE NETS Administrator Stanards.

3.3 Professional Development



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Five percent or less of technology budget allocated for professional development in technology-related training. ■ No technology professional development plan in place or existing plan lacks defined progression toward organization technology goals. ■ Technology professional development plan is not correlated to state and/or national technology standards.	■ 6-24 percent of technology budget devoted to professional development in technology-related training. ■ Technology professional development plan has some measurable correlation to organization technology goals. ■ Technology professional development plan provides some measurable correlation to state and/or national technology standards.	 25-29 percent of technology budget devoted to professional development in technology-related training. Technology professional development plan has clearly measurable correlation to organization technology goals. Technology professional development plan provides significant measureable correlation to state and/or national technology standards. 	■ 30 percent or more of technology budget devoted to professional development in technology-related training. ■ Technology professional development plan has clearly measurable correlation to organization technology goals and is evaluated and revised annually to ensure that organization technology goals are met. ■ Technology professional development plan provides significant measurable correlation to state and/or national technology standards and plan is revised annually to consider emerging technologies.


3.4 Models of Professional Development



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Leader presents information to group of teachers.	■ Teachers participate in hands-on instruction and use acquired skills to develop an instructional product as a follow-up activity.	 Majority of instructional staff participate in coaching, modeling of best practices, scaffolding, and school-based mentoring (including collaboration between special education and regular education) Technology professional development includes requirement of classroom integration and student use of technology in the learning process. Professional development activities include a teacher and a student in a collaborative learning environment. 	■ Learning communities created among instructional staff to provide continuous coaching, modeling of best practices, and school-based mentoring. ■ Additional professional development available anytime, at any level, through a variety of delivery systems (e.g. distance learning, on-line course work, state and national conferences, outside consultants, etc.


Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, ligula suspendisse nulla pretium, rhoncus tempor placerat fermentum, enim integer ad vestibulum volutpat. Nisl rhoncus turpis est, vel elit, congue wisi enim nunc ultricies sit, magna tincidunt. Maecenas aliquam maecenas ligula nostra, accumsan taciti. Sociis mauris in integer, a dolor netus non

3.5 Effective Use of Electronic Data Support System



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Technology not used to review student assessment information.	■ Technology used infrequently to review student assessment information.	■ Technology frequently used to review student assessment information.	Technology regularly used to review student assessment information which results in needed changes in instruction.






Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Teachers acquainted with basic technology operations (word processing, email, Internet navigation).	■ Teachers learn to use technology in the classroom (i.e., administration, management, and/or presentation software; Internet as a research and instructional tool).	■ Teachers learn to use technology with curriculum/students (i.e., integration skills for creating learner-centered technology projects using Internet, applications, multimedia presentations, data collection, making accommodations with assistive technologies, etc.) ■ Integration of technology into instructional strategies to improve teaching and learning.	■ Teachers learn about emerging technologies and their uses with curriculum/ students (i.e., creation and communication of new technology-supported, student-centered projects). ■ Integration of technology aligned with all content areas and grade levels. ■ Technology training content supports growth toward national technology standards for teachers, administrators, and students.

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, ligula suspendisse nulla pretium, rhoncus tempor placerat fermentum, enim integer ad vestibulum volutpat. Nisl rhoncus turpis est, vel elit, congue wisi enim nunc ultricies sit, magna tincidunt. Maecenas aliquam maecenas ligula nostra, accumsan taciti. Sociis mauris in integer, a dolor netus non dui aliquet, sagittis felis sodales, dolor sociis mauris, vel eu libero cras. Interdum at.


SECTION 4

LEARNERS AND LEARNING




	NULL	INITIATES	APPROACHES	MEETS	EXCEEDS
4.1	1	1	67	175	38
4.2	1	10	164	80	27
4.3	1	18	146	100	17
4.4	1	57	176	45	3

4.1 Student Use of Technology




Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
Knowledge/Understanding Infrequent use by students as a basic tool for drill and practice, and/or integrated learning labels for the purpose of identification, recollection, memorization, and review of basic facts.	Application Frequent individual use by students to choose and use informational resources for the purpose of communication and demonstration of knowledge.	 ■ Students regularly use technology for working with peers and experts, evaluation information, analyzing data and content in order to formulate and solve problems. ■ Students regularly use technology for evaluation of individual progress. 	Evaluation Students regularly use technology for working collaboratively in communities of inquiry to propose, implement and assess solutions to real world problems. Students regularly use technology for evaluating and analyzing their own assessment information to improve learning. Students regularly use technology to publish and effectively communicate their knowledge with the global community.

4.2 Technology Integration




Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
Entry Level Technology Teacher-centered lectures. Teachers allow students to use technology to work on individual projects.	Adoption Level Of Technology Use In Classroom Teacher-directed learning. Teachers encourage students to use technology for cooperative projects in their own classrooms. Teachers use technology projects as an alternative form of assessment.	Adaption/Appropriation Level Of Technology Use In Classroom • Teachers facilitate communities of inquiry for students to collaborate with business and/or community members.	Innovation Level Of Technology Use In Classroom Student-centered learning. Teachers act as facilitators in collaboration with external entities to develop 21st century skills (e.g., national or international, business and/ or educational communities. Technology is vital to all curriculum areas and integrated on a daily basis.

4.3 Available Technology Curriculum




Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Provides some structured instruction, experiences, modules or courses in technology utilization.	■ Provides a variety of technology courses/ applications on different topics or at different levels to promote life long learning.	■ Technology scope and sequence in place to fulfill ISTE NETs - A, S, T. ■ Offers at least one sequential program of study in an area of technology.	■ Offers multiple sequential programs of study in technology.

4.4 Community Connections




Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Minimal connection with parents and community through technology. ■ Minimal initiatives to increase community technology literacy. ■ Minimal awareness of initiatives, resources, laws and regulations related to public access to information technologies for persons with disabilities.	■ Basic communication with community utilizing technology. ■ Offers a technology literacy program for parents and/or community (e.g., family tech night, websites, or videos). ■ Partnering with business and/or community to offer job shadowing. ■ Identified information technology access priorities related to community utilization.	 ■ Partners with community to offer after hours training to parents/caregivers. ■ Students assist in technology skills training parents and community in real-life skills. ■ Business expertise brought to classroom. ■ Information technology access plan implemented and significant progress noted in accessibility. 	 ■ Plays an active role in the promotion of technology literacy within the local community. ■ Provides outreach programs to promote collaboration among community, business and school. ■ Students participate in a mentoring program with business and/or community members. ■ Business and community provide financial support and human resources. ■ Minimal disability-related barriers exist related to information technology access.

SECTION 5




	NULL	INITIATES	APPROACHES	MEETS	EXCEEDS
5.1	1	11	69	156	45
5.2	1	37	103	109	32
5.3	1	15	76	149	41
5.4	1	9	91	41	38

5.1 Student Technology Essential Learnings




Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Up to 25 percent of students demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Student Standards	■ At least 25 percent of students demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Student Standards.	■ At least 50 percent of students demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Student Standards.	■ At least 75 percent of students demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Student Standards.

5.2 Administrator Technology Competency




Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Administrators know and understand the ISTE NETs - Administrator Standards	■ Administrators apply the ISTE NETs - Administrator Standards.	■ Administrators analyze and determine their proficiencies based on the ISTE NETs - Administrator Standards.	■ Administrators make decisions and adjust behaviors based on the ISTE NETs - Administrator Standards.

5.3 Teacher Technology Competencies



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
Up to 25 percent of educators demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Teacher Standards.	■ At least 25 percent of educators demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Teacher Standards.	■ At least 50 percent of educators demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Teacher Standards.	■ At least 75 percent of educators demonstrate proficiency in the ISTE NETs - Teacher Standards.

5.4 Demonstrating Effective Use of Technology in Learning



Initiates	Approaches	Meets	Exceeds
■ Educators understand the potential of technology in the learning process, however; the focus remains on productivity.	■ Educators apply effective use of technology to the learning task and opportunities thus increasing productivity. ■ Educators use technology as an extension of the learning experience.	■ Educators provide a variety of technology resources and allow/ facilitate student choice of technologies to accomplish their learning.	■ Educators facilitate effective use of technology in the learning process. ■ Educators evaluate the impact of technology on the learning process and adjusts future learning experiences/opportunities accordingly.